(Revised 06/08)

United States District Court Northern District of Illinois MOTION FOR LEAVE TO APPEAR PRO HAC VICE

Case Title: IN R	E: AQUA DOTS PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION		Plantiff(s)				
	VS.						
			Defendant(s)				
Case Number: 08	3- cv- 2364 Judge: Hon. David H. Coar						
Ι,	JAMES A. STREETT	hereb	y apply to the Court				
under Local Rule 83.14 for permission to appear and participate in the above-entitled action on behalf of							
Plaintiffs Donald C. Erbach and Stephanie S. Streett by whom I have been retained							
I am a member in g	good standing and eligible to practice before the following co	urts:					
	Title of Court		Date Admitted				
Supreme Court of the State of Tennessee			11/08/05				
Supreme Court of the State of Arkansas			05/02/07				
U.S. District Courts for the E.D. and W.D of Arkansas			07/20/07				
U.S. District Court M.D. of Tennessee			05/09/06				
I have currently, or Court in the follow	within the year preceding the date of this application, made ing actions:	pro hac vice	applications to this				
Case Number	Case Title		Date of Application (Granted or Denied)*				
07-cv-4642	Cherise Wilson, et al. v. RC2, et al.	09/17/0	09/17/07;granted 9/13/07				
	-						
*If denied, please (Attach additional necessary)	form if						
Pursuant to Local Rul	e 83.15(a), applicants who do not have an office within the Northern D	istrict of Illinoi	s must designate, at the				

Pursuant to Local Rule 83.15(a), applicants who do not have an office within the Northern District of Illinois must designate, at the time of filing their initial notice or pleading, a member of the bar of this Court having an office within this District upon who service of papers may be made.

Has the applicant designated local counsel? Yes

No

C

Has the applicant ever been: censured, suspended, disbarred, or witherwise disciplined by any court?	Yes	C	No	(
or is the applicant currently the subject of an investigation of the applicant's professional conduct?	Yes	\subset	No	(6)
transferred to inactive status, voluntarily withdrawn, or resigned from the bar of nay court?	Yes	C	No	@
denied admission to the bar of any court?	Yes	\cap	No	(9
held in contempt of court?	Yes	\subset	No	(•

NOTE: If the answer to *any* of the above questions is yes, please attach a brief description of the incident(s) and the applicant's current status before any court, or any agency thereof, where disciplinary sanctions were imposed, or where an investigation or investigations of the applicant's conduct may have been instituted.

I have read the Rules of Professional Conduct for the Northern District of Illinois, effective November 12, 1991 (Local Rules 83.50 through 83.58), and the Standards for Professional Conduct within the Seventh Federal Judicial Circuit, effective December 15, 1992, and will faithfully adhere to them. I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Jul 15, 2008		s/ James	Albert Streett				
Date		Е	lectronic Signature of A	Applicant	_		
Applicant's Name	Last Name Streett		First Name James		Middle Name/Initial		
Applicant's Law Firm	Streett Law Firm, P.A.						
Applicant's Address	Street Address 107 West Main				Room/Suite Number		
	City Russellville	State AR	ZIP Code 72801	Work Phone N	lumber 79-968-2030		

(The pro hac vice admission fee is \$100.00 for cases filed before February 1, 2001, and \$50.00 for cases filed on or after that date, and shall be paid to the Clerk. No admission under Rule 83.14 is effective until such time as the fee has been paid.)

NOTE: Attorneys seeking to appear pro hac vice may wish to consider filing a petition for admission to the general bar of the Court. The fee for admission to the General Bar is \$100.00 The fee for pro hac vice admission is \$100.00 for cases filed before February 1, 2001, and \$50.00 for cases filed on or after that date. Admission to the general bar permits an attorney to practice before this Court. Pro hac vice admission entitles an attorney to appear in a particular case only. Application for such admission must be made in each case; and the admission fee must be paid in each case.

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, James A. Streett, state that I have this 15th day of July, 2008 electronically filed the foregoing Motion For Leave to Appear Pro Hac Vice.

The ECF system is designed to send an e-mail message to all parties in this case, which constitutes service. The parties served by e-mail in this case are:

Ben Barnow -b.barnow@barnowlaw.com; sharris@barnowlaw.com

Joanna E. Clarke-Sayer - <u>isayer@winston.com</u>; ECF CH@winston,com

Rosalee B. Connell - rconnell@finkelsteinthompson.com

Bryna Joyce Roth Dahlin - <u>bdahlin@winston.com</u>; <u>ECF_CH@winston.com</u>

Dana Michele Gilreath - dgilreath@clinton-clinton.com

Jack Reise - <u>ireise@csgrr.com</u>; e file fl@csgrr.com

William N. Riley <u>-wriley@price-law.com</u>

Aron David Robinson - abroblaw@aol.com

Ronald Y. Rothstein - <u>rrothstein@winston.com</u>; <u>mconroy@winston.com</u>; <u>ECF_CH@winston.com</u>

Thomas Joseph Wiegand - twiegand@winston.com; ECF CH@winston.com

Linda M. Fong - lfong@kaplanfox.com

\s\ James A. Streett